

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/659,246	09/10/2003	H. Hoogland	294-79 DIV	3492
23869 7590 07/12/2007 HOFFMANN & BARON, LLP			EXAMINER	
6900 JERICHO TURNPIKE			TRUONG, THANH K	
SYOSSET, NY	7 11791		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3721	-
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/12/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/659 246 HOOGLAND ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit Thanh K, Truong 3721 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will exply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Feilure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status Responsive to communication(s) filed on 02 April 2007. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 46,48-77,83 and 88-104 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 46,48-66,68,83,89 and 90 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 69-77,88 and 91-104 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 46 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s)

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4/2/07.

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

6) Other:

Art Unit: 3721

DETAILED ACTION

This action is in response to applicant's amendment received on April 2, 2007

Applicant's cancellation of claims 1-45, 47, 50, 67, 78-82 and 84-87 is acknowledged.

Claim Objections

 Claim 46 is objected to because of the following informalities: the series of numbers "m02940355eans", line 3, should be deleted. Appropriate correction is required.

Examiner's note: since the Applicant fails to challenge the Official Notice taken by the examiner in the previous office action (February 14, 2006), the well known in the art statement in the office action of February 14, 2006 (regarding to claim 88) is taken to be admitted prior art.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 69-71, 73-77, 88, 91, 93-97, 102 and 104 are rejected under 35 U.S.C.
103(a) as being unpatentable over Heiligers et al. (WO 97/20315) in view of Foulkes (5,868,986) and Wolpert et al. (6,255,948).

Art Unit: 3721

Heiligers discloses a method for manufacturing a storage device (1) for a compact disc (CD) (2) (Heiligers et al. - page 5, lines 3-7), the storage device having a first cover and a second cover (3, 5) pivotally connected (at 6 with the intermediate part 4), and loading the plate-shaped data carrier in the storage device.

Heiligers discloses the claimed invention, but does not expressly disclose the positioning of the authentication means in the injection molding part.

Foulkes discloses the in-mold labeling technique in which a pre-formed polymeric label is incorporated into a polymeric article by molding the article in a mold containing the label providing an attractive appearance article and the label is difficult to remove (column 1, lines 13-19).

Wolpert discloses that in-mold labeling is an effective authenticating means to prevent counterfeiting and to secure valuable merchandise (column 1, lines 17-40), and Wolpert further discloses (column 4, lines 62-65) the authentication means comprising authentication information unique to the individual article and valuable merchandise (it is construed that include item such as CD) to be stored in the storage device.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art, at the time applicant's invention was made, to have modified Heiligers' method by incorporating the in-mold labeling as taught by Foulkes and Wolpert providing an attractive article with authenticating means being injection molding within the part that is difficult to remove and preventing counterfeiting of the article.

Art Unit: 3721

Regarding claim 88, As discussed above, the modified Heiligers, by Foulkes and Wolpert discloses the claimed invention, but do not expressly disclose the step of positioning a printer head adjacent a surface of an injection mold.

It is old and well known in the art to position a printer head adjacent a surface of an injection mold (admitted prior art – see examiner's note in paragraph 11 above) for a better controlling of the printing on the mold surface and therefore a better printing quality.

Wolpert discloses that the graphic indicia can be printed as line work or as halftone (Wolpert – column 5, lines 40-43), and the printing can be accomplished by using "multiple print stations" (Wolpert – column 7, lines 31-33). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art, at the time applicant's invention was made, to have included the printer head adjacent to the surface of the injection mold for a better controlling of the printing on the mold surface and therefore a better printing quality.

Heiligers, Foulkes and Wolpert further disclose: the storage device is injection molded in one piece (Heiligers – figure 1); the authentication means (such as bar code 16, 46b – Wolpert, figures 4A and 8); providing a printing and placing the printing (such as bar code) in the molding part and form an integral part of the cover; the printing is introduced into the mold on a carrier (Wolpert, figure 4A); the authentication means is a magnetic means which is postioned on a carrier in the mold, and injecting plastic around the magnetic means, such that the carrier is enclosed therein; a fixing means or resilient fingers (17) within the storage device for fixing the plate shaped data carrier in the

Art Unit: 3721

storage device (Heiligers, figure 2a), and the data carrier is a CD (2); and the hinge (6) forming an integrated one piece hinge between the first and second cover.

- 6. Examiner's note: since the Applicant fails to challenge the Official Notice taken by the examiner in the previous office action (June 12, 2005), the well known in the art statement in the office action of June 12, 2005 (regarding to claim 103) is taken to be admitted prior art.
- Claim 103 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Heiligers et al. (WO 97/20315) in view of Foulkes (5,868,986) and Wolpert et al. (6,255,948).

It is old and well known to provide a carrier having printing on both sides of the carrier (admitted prior art) so that the printing, such as bar code, can be seen on both sides of the carrier without being turn around.

 Claims 100 and 101 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Heiligers et al. (WO 97/20315) in view of Foulkes (5,868,986) and Wolpert et al. (6,255,948).

As discussed above in paragraph 5 of this office action, Heiligers, Foulkes and Wolpert discloses the claimed invention, but do not expressly disclose the melting index of at least 30 and 50. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have performed the injection molding of Heiligers using the melt index as claimed by the applicant, since it has been held that where the

Art Unit: 3721

general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Aller*, 105 USPQ 233.

9. Claims 98, 99 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Heiligers et al. (WO 97/20315) in view of Foulkes (5,868,986) and Wolpert et al. (6,255,948), and further in view of Hanamoto et al. (4,639,341).

As discussed above in paragraph 5 of this office action, Heiligers, Foulkes and Wolpert discloses the claimed invention, but do not expressly disclose the placing of the carrier adjacent a wall of the mold and inject the plastic to contact the carrier and the mold wall.

Hanamoto discloses a method in which the carrier (32) with the printing indicia (10) is placed adjacent a wall of the mold and the plastic is injected to contact the carrier and the mold wall (figures 1-7). Hanamoto provides an effective method to molding articles by injection molding while simultaneously imprinting or transferring patterns on a continuous sheet on or to the molded articles (column 1, lines 14-17).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art, at the time applicant's invention was made, to have modified Heiligers' method by incorporating the molding articles with printing pattern as taught by Hanamoto providing an effective molding process that produce printing pattern on the injection molding article.

Art Unit: 3721

Hanamoto further discloses: the stretching of the carrier before placing the carrier in the mold so that the carrier is pulled taut (column 3, lines 52-62); the carrier fuses with the plastic; wherein the printing is designed as a transfer (column 1, line s 15-16).

10. Claims 72 and 92 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Heiligers et al. (WO 97/20315) in view of Foulkes (5,868,986), Wolpert et al. (6,255,948), and further in view of Walters (5,815,292) and Palmer et al. (5,189,531).

As discussed above in paragraph 5 of this office action, Heiligers, Foulkes and Wolpert disclose the claimed invention, but do not expressly disclose that the printing further comprises providing a holographic printing.

Walters discloses that holograms are commonly used as authenticating devices because it provide a three-dimensional image, and thus it is difficult to reproduce (column 1, lines 15-17).

Palmer discloses that holograms are formed in moldable materials by utilizing injection molding can be applied to digital compact audio discs or video discs (abstract).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art, at the time applicant's invention was made, to have modified Heiligers, Foulkes and Wolpert method to include the hologram image as taught by Walters and Palmer to provide an authenticating devices that is difficult to reproduce.

Art Unit: 3721

Allowable Subject Matter

 Claim 46 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the objection set forth in this Office action.

12. Claims 48, 49, 51-66, 68, 83, 89 and 90 (dependents of claim 46) would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the objection (of claim 46) set forth in this Office action.

Response to Arguments

- Applicant's arguments filed April 2, 2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 14. In response to the Applicant's argument that none of the prior art references discloses an authentication link between the storage box and the enclosed CD/DVD, this is not found persuasive.

As discussed in the paragraph 5 of this office action, Heiligers discloses a method for manufacturing a storage device (1) for a compact disc (CD) (2) (Heiligers et al. - page 5, lines 3-7):

"a storage device according to the invention is particularly suitable for storing circular discs, such as compact discs (CD, CD-I, CD-ROM), video discs, long-playing records and singles, and the like.", and

Wolpert (column 4, lines 58-65) discloses that:

"... the magnetic/metallic security device 10 authenticates and/or is encoded with data relating to the articles to which the labels or packaging material is attached" (emphases added).

Clearly, the references in combination disclose the authentication link between the storage box and the enclosed CD/DVD as claimed.

Art Unit: 3721

In response to applicant's argument that there is no suggestion to combine the 15. references, the examiner recognizes that obviousness can only be established by combining or modifying the teachings of the prior art to produce the claimed invention where there is some teaching, suggestion, or motivation to do so found either in the references themselves or in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art. See In re Fine, 837 F.2d 1071, 5 USPQ2d 1596 (Fed. Cir. 1988)and In re Jones, 958 F.2d 347, 21 USPQ2d 1941 (Fed. Cir. 1992). In this case, Helligers discloses a method for manufacturing a storage device as recited in the claimed invention; Foulkes discloses the in-mold labeling technique in which a pre-formed polymeric label is incorporated into a polymeric article by molding the article in a mold containing the label providing an attractive appearance article and the label is difficult to remove (column 1. lines 13-19); and Wolpert discloses that in-mold labeling is an effective authenticating means to prevent counterfeiting and to secure valuable merchandise (column 1, lines 17-40). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art, at the time applicant's invention was made, to have modified Helligers storage device by incorporating the in-mold labeling technique as taught by Foulkes and demonstrated by Wolpert that the in-mold labeling is an effective authenticating means to protect the storage device from counterfeiting and thefts (at the sale location).

16. In response to the Applicant's argument regarding printing directly onto a mold surface with a transfer ink so that authentification information will later be transfer into

Art Unit: 3721

the storage box upon injection molding of the storage box, Applicant's attention is directed to paragraph 9 of this office action.

Hanamoto discloses a method in which the carrier (32) with the printing indicia (10) is placed adjacent a wall of the mold and the plastic is injected to contact the carrier and the mold wall (figures 1-7). Hanamoto provides an effective method to molding articles by injection molding while simultaneously imprinting or transferring patterns on a continuous sheet on or to the molded articles (column 1, lines 14-17).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art, at the time applicant's invention was made, to have modified Heiligers' method by incorporating the molding articles with printing pattern as taught by Hanamoto providing an effective molding process that produce printing pattern on the injection molding article.

Conclusion

 THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Page 11

Application/Control Number: 10/659,246

Art Unit: 3721

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later

than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

18. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Thanh K. Truong whose telephone number is 571-272-

4472. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thru 8:00AM - 6:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor. Rinaldi Rada can be reached on 571-272-4467. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

H_+

July 8, 2007.

THANH K. TRUONG PRIMARY EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700